Case 7:21-cv-00128-PMH Document 86 Filed 05/04/22 Page 1 of 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	DOCUMENT EDECTRONICALLY PILED
JOSE J. SHOMO,	DATE HE S/4/2022
Plaintiff,	10 m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m
-against-	21 CIVIL 128 (PMH)
STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND COMMUNITY SUPERVISION, et al.,	<u>JUDGMENT</u>
Defendants.	
It is hereby ORDERED , ADJUDGED AND	DECREED: That for the reasons stated in the
Court's Memorandum Opinion and Order dated May 4, 202	2, Defendants' motion to dismiss is GRANTED and
the Complaint is DISMISSED with prejudice for failure to s	state a claim. While "[d]istrict courts should
frequently provide leave to amend before dismissing a pro s	se complaint leave to amend is not necessary when
it would be futile." Reed v. Friedman Mgmt. Corp., 541 F.	Appx 40, 41 (2d Cir. 2013) (citing Cuoco v.
Moritsugu, 222 F.3d 99, 112 (2d Cir. 2000)). The Complain	at is dismissed with prejudice because any
amendment would be futile. The Court certifies under 28 U.	S.C. § 1915(a)(3) that any appeal from the
Memorandum Opinion and Order would not be taken in goo	od faith. Cf. Coppedge v. United States, 369 U.S.
438, 444-45 (1962) (holding that an appellant demonstrates	good faith when he seeks review of a nonfrivolous

Dated: New York, New York

for the purpose of an appeal; accordingly, the case is closed.

May 4, 2022

RUBY J. KRAJICK

Clerk of Court

Denuty Clerk

BY:

issue). Plaintiff is not otherwise entitled to proceed 1FP under 28 U.S.C § 1915(g). IFP status is therefore denied